

1 *E-filed on* 09/25/09

2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION

11
12 VEDATECH K.K. and MANI
SUBRAMANIAN,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 CRYSTAL DECISIONS, INC., et al.,

16 Defendants.
17

No. C-03-04578 RMW


ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR
ADDITIONAL TIME

[Docket Nos. 193, 194]

18
19 Plaintiffs Mani Subramanian ("Subramanian") requests additional time to file a reply and
20 plaintiff Vedatech, K.K. ("Vedatech") joins in the request. Defendants (collectively "Crystal")
21 oppose the motion. The court denies Subramanian's request for additional time to file a reply. The
22 court will consider the "preliminary reply," filed on September 11, 2009 in accordance with the
23 parties stipulated briefing schedule, as his reply.

24 The court furthermore concludes that the motion to alter judgment can be resolved without
25 argument. The October 30, 2009 hearing date is hereby vacated and the matter deemed submitted on
26 the papers.

27 DATED: 09/25/09

28 
RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge

1 Notice of this document has been electronically sent to:

2 **Plaintiffs:**

3 Mani Subramanian
4 c/o Robert L. Oca
5 P. O. Box 12231
6 San Francisco, CA 94112

7 Gregory Gavin Schwartz

GSchwartz@rohdelaw.com

8 **Counsel for Defendant:**

9 Stephen J Kottmeier

sjk@hopkinscarley.com

10 Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not
11 registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.

12 **Dated:** 09/25/09

JAS
Chambers of Judge Whyte